Atkinson Conservation Commission Minutes Monday, February 4, 2019 Atkinson Town Hall

Approved March 4, 2019

Members Present:

Paul Wainwright, Chair
Denise Legault, Vice Chair
Pete McVay, Secretary
Dan Kimball
Jeff Nenart, Alternate (voting)
Tim Dziechowski, Alternate (voting)

Others Present:

Jim Gove, Gove Environmental Michael Green, Green & Company John Troy Estelle Halchak Nicole Pitts Karen McFadden

1) Call to order, attendance

Chair Wainwright called the meeting to order at 7:32 PM, Monday, February 4, 2019. Chair Wainwright reviewed the attendance list and declared that a quorum was present.

Chair Wainwright also introduced the new Recording Clerk, Debbie Husson, and welcomed back long-time member and "Chair Emeritus" Tim Dziechowski.

Chair Wainwright asked for one change to the agenda. Item 7 – Land Conservation Resource Documents, to be expanded to be a general recap of the Deliberative Session.

2) Review and approval of minutes: January 7, 2019

Chair Wainwright requested a motion to approve the minutes of the January 7, 2019 public meeting of the Atkinson Conservation Commission, as presented.

Member Kimball made a motion to approve the minutes of the January 7, 2019 public session of the Atkinson Conservation Commission, as presented. Member McVay seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously except for Tim Dziechowski, who abstained because he was not at the January meeting. 6/0/1

3) <u>Treasurer's report:</u>

Chair Wainwright reported that the boundary markers cost more than expected, approximately \$300. A replacement float for the town dock has been purchased and installed and ready for spring. No questions were presented about the Treasurer's Report. Chair Wainwright recapped that all Recording Clerks must now be town employees, and that the town now is responsible to pay FICA and Medicare for those employees. Lines have been added to our budget to accommodate that.

4) Page Farm update: Prime Wetland boundary & buffer encroachment update:

Chair Wainwright welcomed Michael Green of Green & Company, and Jim Gove of Gove Environmental Services, to speak regarding prime wetland boundary delineations at Page Farm. Chair Wainwright wanted to be sure that the Planning Board was satisfied with the way they were denoted on Green & Company's plans.

The variance application for the clear-cutting and excavation in the 100-foot cluster perimeter boundary that was discussed at last month's meeting was revisited. In the January meeting, the Conservation Commission decided they would like to do a site walk, which was attended by Chair Wainwright, Member Kimball, Member Nenart and Member McVay, and was done Saturday, January 19. Member Nenart stated that they observed healthy woods abutting the town property and feels that cutting to the buffer does not need to be done, and he would be opposed to that as protection to the town property.

Chair Wainwright invited Mr. Green to present his ZBA proposal, because Green and Company's application to the ZBA is different from what was presented to the Planning Board last month. Mr. Green stated that the application is the same as what was given at the last PB meeting. Chair Wainwright stated that the application to the ZBA had foundations within the perimeter buffer, but the handout at the January Planning Board did not. Chair Wainwright welcomed any comments Mr. Green might have regarding this.

Mr. Green stated that what they are trying to do to "make everything work," and that they slid three houses into the first 50 feet of the buffer, and they are approaching the ZBA to look at this as not a condominium development, but as a single family, fee simple development. If it were a single family, fee simple, free standing home development, the perimeter buffer would be 50 feet. If it is considered a condominium development, it would be 100 feet. But the type of single family structure they are proposing would be very similar to the fee simple ownership. What they are asking is to relax the 100 foot setback to a 50 foot setback. They are not trying to push everything into that area, just the homes to be adjusted a little bit to make it a little bit nicer for the homeowners that will be there for years. They had to relocate lot 33 to allow the road to come in, and it was literally on the back of lot 34, so they gave it a little too much space on the plan, but they can pull that back. What they're looking for is the ability to do that. The remaining 50 feet would basically become the buffer and they are intending to re-plant. They would like to prevent water issues. If they can do the grading and re-forest it with natural vegetation such as trees and shrubs that are native to the area, it would prevent water and drainage issues. They are also trying to be sure that everything they are trying to accomplish fits well. Mr. Green stated that of the thousands of homes they have built, none have water issues, and they are very particular about the site work and the drainage. Green and Company is happy to re-grade, re-do, re-forest to make sure there is an adequate buffer. Mr. Green stated that visually, the houses will be dropped predominantly below the view line of the conservation land. They are not looking for it to be mow-able lawn, and once it has been restabilized the land goes back to a no touch zone.

Chair Wainwright reiterated that the Conservation Commission is involved with this issue because the land next door to the development is a Town Forest. In both the deed and in the

town meeting vote to make it a town forest, these state that the Conservation Commission is responsible for taking care of this land. The town forest is owned by the people of Atkinson, not a lifeless, breathless entity, and that is why the Conservation Commission is involved.

Chair Wainwright is also concerned because when Mr. Green was here in September, when the variance application for the road was discussed, and none of this [the buffer encroachment] was on the table. Mr. Green stated that it wasn't on the table at that time because he didn't know about it. It was on the plan but when they were going over it with the Engineer, it was still a sketch plan. Chair Wainwright stated that if he had known then what he knows now, he would not have voted to recommend approval for the road. Mr. Green presented a highlighted map to show where the grading would be.

Member McVay stated that his concern is that the Conservation Commission did approve a plan, which was good at the time, and the approval was only for that plan.

Chair Wainwright reiterated that he sent a letter to the ZBA concerning the variance for the road, and that the recommendation was for the road only, and that the Commission didn't necessarily condone the entire plan. Mr. Green agreed. Member McVay stated again that the recommendation was for that earlier plan only, and any new plans would have to be reevaluated. Member McVay stated that he would not like to have his name of approval on something that has suddenly changed. Chair Wainwright stated that the Commission was unhappy with the way the clear-cutting and excavation variance was being handled.

Mr. Green showed the highlighted map of what would be "graded," and Chair Wainwright stated that he would call it a major excavation. Mr. Green agreed and explained how the cut would be done.

Member Kimball questioned the difference in zoning requirements between condominiums and single family ownership, and asked for clarification that a single family development would only require a 50 foot buffer. Alternate Nenart pointed out that this is still a condominium development. Member Kimball stated that a buffer, in his mind, means "no cut." Mr. Green explained that the buffer language is for protecting the development from another subdivision or a town road. Alternate Nenart pointed out that the zoning regulation states that the perimeter buffer is to provide a transition between land uses. Chair Wainwright argued that if it had meant that if it was only for developed land, it would have said that. Mr. Green stated that he is telling it how it was explained to him by the town Planning Board and the town's Engineer. Alternate Nenart questioned whether Mr. Green is stating that if it is town property then no buffer zone is needed, and Mr. Green denied that is what he was stating. Mr. Green again stated that he was explaining the guidance that he had been given, and claimed that the Planning Board suggested this. Mr. Green stated that he thought the logic for needing a smaller buffer in this case had originated from the Conservation Commission. Chair Wainwright disagreed.

Member Kimball clarified that, from his point of view, the buffer zone is a transition zone and there should be gradual or little change from the town forest to the development. His concern

is that the 100 year old pine/oak forest cannot be replaced, and if you remove it there's no transition. He feels that this doesn't even begin to fit the definition of a buffer zone.

Chair Wainwright asked if there was any precedent for this sort of variance request, but no one could think of any.

Member Nenart stated that he has an issue because he would like to preserve the use of the town forest for the residents who use it for hunting. People cannot hunt within 300 feet of an occupied dwelling, and his concern is that if the houses are 50 feet closer, it would take away land use [for hunting] on the town forest. He is also concerned that without the 100-foot buffer of mature forest, new homeowners may become concerned if they see someone with a firearm just beyond the stone wall. So Member Nenart feels the buffer benefits both the homeowners and the people who use the town forest.

Vice Chair Legault questioned how Green and Company plans to mitigate erosion during the regrading. Mr. Gove stated that there will be perimeter controls put up and the retention basins they are proposing will be put in as temporary sediment bays until they are stabilized. Mr. Gove stated that they have used a lot of the stump grindings [for erosion control], which he feels has done a terrific job in containment. Chair Wainwright agreed. Mr. Gove felt comfortable that they can control downslope erosions toward the wetlands and also use what would become retention basins as temporary sediment areas.

Vice Chair Legault asked if there is a written plan for re-vegetation. Mr. Gove stated that he made the suggestion of going with the Corp of Engineers spacing, which is 10 feet on center, which is what is usually recommended for replanting trees either in a buffer or a wetland. Mr. Gove suggested that there be a mix of trees and shrubs mainly because it's a little bit more old growth in that area. Chair Wainwright pointed out that there are currently no shrubs because it is an old-growth forest. Mr. Gove stated that he thought it would be good to add a little bit more diversity to what is there today, such as high-bush blueberry. He agreed that this will not restore the forest immediately; he agreed that this will be a thick shrub/sapling type of growth for a while. He would like a 3:1 slope, with a woody species, with shrubs and trees for more diversity. He feels it is a bit early to have them on the plans.

Chair Wainwright stated that there is a problem in town with bittersweet, and is concerned with how this will be controlled so as not to invade the town forest. Mr. Gove stated that the Property Manager would be there to control it. Mr. Green confirmed that there would be one landscaping company there to control it within the bounds of the development.

Member Nenart asked for clarification that the additional seven homes would be governed by the same Condominium Association, and Mr. Green confirmed that they were, with the same conservation requirements.

Mr. Green brought up that this parcel of land was once a piece of land the Conservation Commission was considering purchasing. He claimed there are seven units of additional density that was set aside by the original developer. Chair Wainwright explained that the sale fell through because of technical reasons. At the time, the Commission had a Purchase and

Sale agreement for 20 acres for \$300,000, but the original developer wanted to keep the high and dry square footage to use as part of the soils-based density calculation, which would mean the town would be paying for land that would ordinarily be dedicated open space, and there were a lot of people, including the ZBA, that didn't think they should be paying for land that would be part of what would be otherwise open space, that is why it fell through.

Chair Wainwright asked Mr. Green when the subject of endangered species would be addressed by Green and Company. Chair Wainwright stated that he was not happy that the Commission only recently found out that this was an issue, and that Green and Company had not been forthcoming about it with the Commission. Mr. Gove said he was surprised that it was an issue also, and said he felt they had addressed all the issues with regard to threatened and endangered species in the original AoT [Alteration of Terrain permit, from the State]. Chair Wainwright pointed out that the previous plan Mr. Gove was referring to was when construction was more than 1000 feet away from the wetlands. Mr. Gove stated that he went back to Eben Lewis specifically not for just the prime wetlands, but because of any concern he had for threatened or endangered species. Mr. Gove claimed that Mr. Lewis had no concerns, that there were plenty of safeguards in the current [7-unit] plan. Mr. Gove thought it was fine. Chair Wainwright asked why it was never discussed with the Conservation Commission, and Mr. Gove said he didn't feel it would need to be, because it was never brought up. Mr. Gove stated that NH DES, who are responsible for Alteration of Terrain permits, suddenly brought it to his attention. DES said that there was a concern from Fish and Game. Mr. Gove said he was surprised that there was an issue, as there was never a discussion, and stated that he was upset also. Mr. Gove stated that the design included things to accommodate, such as large culverts and a bridge. Chair Wainwright asked if these measures were part of the original 60-unit plan, which was at least 1000 feet from the Prime Wetland. [Mr. Gove did not respond] Mr. Gove stated that Fish and Game now has issues that are totally different from what was stated before. Chair Wainwright requested that the Conservation Commission be kept in the loop also. Mr. Gove agreed that they would. Mr. Gove stated that this is an AoT issue and has nothing to do with wetlands, which, he feels, should be the limit of Conservation's advisory responsibility, so he is not sure it falls under the Conservation Commission. Chair Wainwright stated that he did not agree. Mr. Gove stated that as long as his client is happy with keeping the Commission in the loop he will do so. But from a regulatory standpoint, Mr. Gove stated he did not feel that Conservation had any say about AoT issues.

Vice Chair Legault expressed concern because in the Town of Atkinson Master Plan from 2015, in the vision chapter, states that the role of Conservation is to "...support conserving land/wetland protection, green space, and habitat preservation." Chair Wainwright stated that habitat preservation does fall under the Conservation Commission's area of responsibility. Mr. Gove stated that their discussions are with the state, specifically the AoT. Chair Wainwright asked if the Conservation Commission could be added to the "copy to" and Mr. Gove agreed.

Chair Wainwright reminded the Commission that endangered species does not fall under the ZBA's area of responsibility, and urged the Commission to get back to specific feedback about the variance application to help decide what to recommend to the ZBA.

Mr. Gove said that there are Blanding's and Spotted Turtles on the NHP. Fish and Game said that this would be a taking of habitat. Mr. Gove said he is analyzing that, but is pretty sure that, given the location on the steep slope, that it will not be a habitat taking, because it is not a typical corridor that they will travel. In looking at the land that is there, especially the town forest -- that is not conducive to the turtles travel path, especially traveling over the hill to get to the vernal pool. Mr. Gove stated that last week was the first time they heard there was an issue.

Chair Wainwright led the meeting back to issues of concern to the ZBA, and asked the townspeople who were present if they had any questions or concerns. Karen McFadden stated that she would like to preserve as much of the habitat and the mature forest as possible.

Chair Wainwright summarized his view that buffers are there for a reason, and what the attorney wrote in his narrative didn't take that into account.

Nicole Pitts, whose husband is a hunter, stated that it would make a significant impact for people who hunt in the town forest, and feels that the townspeople shouldn't have to suffer and lose the pristine forest because of houses.

Mr. Green stated that this is not about the subdivision, it is about the seven homes. Mr. Green stated that if the variance is not granted, there are other ways to make the subdivision work. And he agrees that there is no question that the vegetation will need to be replanted. And he agrees that it will have a significant impact on the vegetation.

Chair Wainwright asked if there were any members who would recommend that the variance be granted, and hearing none, he asked for a motion to recommend that the ZBA deny the variance.

Secretary McVay moved to recommend to the ZBA that the variance to cut and excavate in the perimeter buffer be denied. Member Kimball seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously, 6/0/0.

Chair Wainwright expressed his regret that this has become an "eleventh hour" issue. Alternate Nenart also praised Green and Company for doing a good job on the current 60-unit project.

Mr. Green assured the Commission that whatever they do, they will do to the best of their ability to make this as co-existing and nice as they can.

Jim Gove and Michael Green left the meeting.

5) Land Conservation Working Group:

[This discussion starts at 52:00 on the ACTV recording, runs through 1:06:00, and is worth watching]

Present for this session: John Troy, Nicole Pitts, Estelle Halchak, and Karen McFadden.

Chair Wainwright feels that the Conservation Commission needs to implement a Land Conservation Plan, and would like to spin off a working group to take on the work involved to come up with a plan.

Chair Wainwright introduced the Land Conservation Resource Documents, which are now on the web. He reviewed the different categories, and how to get the documents from the town website.

Chair Wainwright would like to form a Working Group to study the sample plans that are posted on the Reference Documents page, and decide which type of plan would be best for Atkinson. The Working Group may need to hire one or two consultants, and he feels this could be paid for using the Conservation Fund since it would be directly related to conserving land in Atkinson.

Because of his work load, Chair Wainwright stated that he needs to form a working group – that does not include him – to go off and do the work needed to formulate a Conservation Plan for the town. Membership of the Working Group would consist of no more than 3 Commission members, with large community involvement. Chair Wainwright stated he is still looking for someone to be the "Facilitator" (aka "leader") of the working group. Chair Wainwright asked the Commission members to consider if this is something they could be involved with, and that he would like to firm up the Conservation member part of the working group by our March meeting.

John Troy said he is interested because he is a real estate attorney. The other guests at the meeting also expressed interest.

Alternate Nenart stated that he does not have the time to serve on this working group. Chair Wainwright expressed gratitude for the trail maintenance that Mr. Nenart is able to provide on weekends.

Member McVay expressed an interest in forming a Working Group for trails maintenance and grant writing for trails, and Chair Wainwright agreed this was a good idea. Chair Wainwright suggested at most one other Commission member on this Working Group, with others to be included from the general public. Chair Wainwright asked Member McVay over the next month to look for community members to work with him on this.

Chair Wainwright expressed gratitude to the volunteers who were present at the meeting. No experience necessary – on-the-job training would be part of the process. Chair Wainwright expressed a need for someone who has experience writing grant applications.

Chair Wainwright stated that he will be applying for another 3-year term in April, but that's it. So it is important that the Conservation Commission be able to function without him, and encouraged all members to be involved in the Commission's work.

Vice Chair Legault stated that she is involved in Big Island Pond, and that water is "her thing."

Chair Wainwright stated that this is not going to be a short-term project. It will probably take us at least a year.

Karen McFadden asked if the tax maps show accurate accounts of where the wetlands are. Chair Wainwright confirmed that the current tax maps are relatively accurate for the larger ones.

Chair Wainwright explained how he developed the "Atkinson at a Glance" maps that are part of the Resource Documents web page.

Vice Chair Legault remarked that there seems to be a lot of confusion among the general public about what is considered Open Space in cluster developments. Chair Wainwright reviewed the definition of "conservation land" which he gave at the Deliberative Session, and stated that most cluster development open space land does not fall under that definition. However, cluster open space land is still an important piece of the town's overall efforts at maintaining its rural character.

Chair Wainwright remarked that the status of hunting on the town's land needs to be reevaluated as we get close to next hunting season. Some parcels require that hunting be allowed because of the terms under which they were acquired by the town, and some Town Forests are composed of multiple parcels with different restrictions concerning hunting.

Karen McFadden asked if there was any advantage to a Current Use land owner who allowed public access to their land for recreation. No one at the meeting knew the answer to this. [Editor's note: further research determined that the land owner gets an additional 20% reduction in their assessment if the public is allowed access to the land.]

Member Kimball remarked that Current Use land is only temporarily open space.

6) Recap of the Deliberative Session

Mr. Troy stated that he felt there was a lot of support for the Conservation Commission at last Saturday's Deliberative Session. Chair Wainwright agreed, and was very encouraged.

Vice Chair Legault stated that people need to understand that a vote of "No" on Article 15 would be a vote of confidence for the Commission and its efforts to conserve land in Atkinson.

Secretary McVay mentioned that a few years ago there was a meeting to see about preserving the Page Farm property, and there were 60 people who came out to the meeting.

Chair Wainwright again expressed the importance of using our Conservation Fund as matching funds to attract grant money – from multiple sources. He also reviewed the powers that the Conservation Commission has to enter into purchase and sale agreements on behalf of the town that are contingent upon holding a public hearing, and getting Selectmen approval to spend money from the Conservation Fund.

7) Eagle Scouts

Chair Wainwright mentioned that a large number of Scouts will need Eagle projects in the very near future, and that the Commission needs to have a list of "shovel ready" projects. John Troy offered to refer Scouts to the Conservation Commission. Member Dziechowski said he had a good idea for a project, and would send the idea via email to Mr. Troy. John Troy remarked that it is up to the Scout to show leadership, make contacts, and plan their projects.

Member Dziechowski also said he was willing to be the liaison with John Troy and the Scouts.

Chair Wainwright reviewed the pitch he gave to the Scouts concerning Barry Conservation Camp. John Troy said there had been similar opportunities presented to the Scouts in the past, and he was not sure what the level of interest would be.

Secretary McVay stated that Slade Forest trail is very overgrown. Chair Wainwright stated that a long term plan would be to make it wheelchair accessible with gravel for the trail, and that this would likely be more than one Eagle project. Member Dziechowski remarked that it would be possible to do an Eagle project at the end of the trail.

John Troy inquired about the Chadwick Town Forest and the overgrowth. Chair Wainwright stated that he has received several emails from local residents asking where the trail is and requested that it be cleaned up. He thanked the residents for volunteering. He stated that it needs to be blazed, cleared, and signage added. Mr. Troy described the previous Eagle project that built the bridge and opened access to Merrill Drive, and agreed that more work is needed. Mr. Troy expressed that the previous project had difficulty locating exactly where the 50-foot access was off of Merrill Drive.

John Troy said he would follow up with the Scouts.

8) GPS Trail Mapping

Member McVay is continuing to try to work out bugs.

9) Short Reports and Other Business:

a. Walk through Chadwick Town Forest with Charlie Moreno

A walk with Charlie Moreno, consulting forester, still needs to take place to evaluate whether a selective timber cut would be beneficial to this Town Forest. Several members expressed an interest in joining the walk. Chair Wainwright and Member Kimball don't feel Chadwick needs to be cut.

b. Conservation Easement Boundary Markers

They have arrived and are now up on the easement land on Willowvale, and the Kutzelman's 150 foot stretch on Maple Avenue.

c. Historical Society Invitation

The Historical Society invited the Conservation Commission to go on a walk out to Noyes Rock Shelter, but it had to be postponed and will be rescheduled.

d. Dock repairs

The dock has been repaired thanks to the amazing Teddy Stuart, and is ready for the "tide" to come in this spring.

e. Bookshelf in the Library

There is now a shelf dedicated to the Conservation Commission to the left of the circulation desk.

f. Barry Conservation Camp

Chair Wainwright reported that he has reserved one spot in the Junior Conservation Officer training session in August, and has asked the Scouts to respond if interested by our next meeting on March 4. We either need to fill the slot or release it by April 1.

g. Stone Wall Mapping Project

Secretary McVay informed the Commission of a new project at UNH which lists all stone walls that they can find, including ones that are buried. The general public can participate and can call up a stone wall map to show the boundaries.

h. Endangered Plants Poster

Chair Wainwright has mounted a poster to display, and it will have information about what residents can do if invasive plants are found on their land.

i. Conservation Commission "Office Hours"

Chair Wainwright would like to implement an informal place where people can come to learn more about the Conservation Commission, or if they have questions. For the next three months, the third Saturday of the month, a room at the library has been reserved for the hours of 10am – 12pm, starting February 16^{th.} He invited other members to join him.

j. Chair Wainwright's "FYI" emails to Conservation Members

Finally, Chair Wainwright would like to confirm that the members are receiving his "CC FYI" emails that he has started sending on an occasional basis, to be sure that members are

informed of ongoing activities between meetings. Members indicated they were receiving these emails, and that they found them useful.

Next Meetings

The next two regular Conservation Commission meetings will be Mondays March 4 and April 1, 2019.

Also, the NH Association of Conservation Commissions annual conference will be the first Saturday of November, November 2, 2019, and Chair Wainwright encouraged everyone to hold the date for this important educational opportunity.

10) Adjournment

Chair Wainwright requested a motion to adjourn.

Member McVay made a motion to adjourn the February 4, 2019 meeting of the Atkinson Conservation Commission. Vice Chair Legault seconded the motion. All members of the Conservation Commission present voted in favor. Vote: 6/0/0.

The meeting adjourned at 9:11 PM.

Attachments:

- Treasurer's Report
- The latest Page Farm plan

Town of Atkinson Conservation Commission 2019 Budget Tracking

Account Number	Description	TM Approved 2019 Budget		What	Amount	Date Prepared	Date Submitted	Amount Submitted		TD otal	YTD Unspent
				Minutes, Jan 7, 2019	\$82.50	1/14/2019		\$82.50			
40.46111.110.00	RECORDING CLERK	\$ 1,000.00							\$	82.50	\$ 917.50
40.46111.390.00	OTHER PROF SERVICES	\$ 2,500.00							\$	-	\$ 2,500.00
40.46111.550.00	PRINTING AND BINDING	\$ 1.00							\$	-	\$ 1.00
40.46111.560.00	DUES/SUBS/MEMBERSHIPS	\$ 400.00)						\$	-	\$ 400.00
40.46111.620.00	OFFICE SUPPLIES	\$ 1.00							\$	-	\$ 1.00
40.46111.625.00	POSTAGE	\$ 1.00							\$	_	\$ 1.00
40.40111.025.00	TOSTAGE	J 1.00							Ţ		J 1.00
			E	Replacement float for Town Dock, -2% Ebner reimbursement: Junction Markers	\$126.89 \$103.68	1/24/2019 1/2/2019	1/25/2019 \$ 1/7/2019	124.35 \$103.68			
40.46111.650.00	CARE OF GROUNDS	\$ 3,000.00							\$	228.03	\$ 2,771.97
40.46111.740.00	NEW EQUIPMENT	\$ 300.00							\$	-	\$ 300.00
40.46111.820.00	MILEAGE	\$ 74.00)						\$	-	\$ 74.00
40.46111.840.00	SPECIAL PROGRAMS	\$ 1,000.00							\$	-	\$ 1,000.00
40.46111.850.00	EDUCATION & CONFERENCES	\$ 250.00							\$	-	\$ 250.00
					¢201.77	4 /20 /2015	4 /20 /2010	ć201.7F			
40.46111.875.00		\$ 500.00		Conservation Easement Boundary Markers	\$304.77	1/28/2019	1/29/2019	\$304.77		304.77	\$ 195.23
	TOTALS	\$ 9,027.00	ש ע						\$	615.30	\$ 8,411.70

2019_01_01 - Expenditure Tracking 2/1/2019, 3:00 PM